

February 21, 2000.

Mr. Vernon A. Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board Office of the Secretary Case Control Unit Att: STB Ex Parte No, 582 1925 K. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423-0001

FEB 29 2000

Dear Sir,

Kaycan is a manufacturer of construction material that is a major user of rail service in the U.S. and Canada. The purpose of this letter is to comment on the issues to be discussed on March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2000 in Ex Parte 582.

We encourage the Surface Transportation Board to focus on whether the proposed CN-BNSF combination is good for shippers, not on potential downstream effects. The CN-BNSF combination should be judged on its merits and if other carriers propose mergers, then these cases ought to be evaluated on their merits.

The timing of the proposed CN-BNSF combination is not the central issue for our company. Our concern is service. Recent mergers have failed on service, not because of timing. Accordingly, the Surface Transportation Board should focus on ensuring that CN-BNSF combination, and any other future mergers, will deliver service.

The Surface Transportation Board has comprehensive process ro evaluate railroad transactions and protect the public interest. It should fairly evaluate the CN-BNSF combination according to the process to protect themselves and not shippers.

Sincerely,

Lionel Dubrofsk

President

3075 Trans Canaca Hwy, Pointe Claire, Qc H9R 1B4 Tel.: (514) 694-5855 • Fax: (514) 694-4423